

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,023	08/27/2003	Robert Lombard	KFHI-109	6442
23290 75	90 04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
HOLLANDER LAW FIRM, P.L.C.			PRATT, HELEN F	
SUITE 305 10300 EATON PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FAIRFAX, VA 22030			1761	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/650,023	LOMBARD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Helen F. Pratt	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSISTANCE IN THE MAILING DEPOSIT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	–· action is non-final.					
′=	, -						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-23,25-41 and 43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 6, 24, 42, 44-53 is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
_	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-23, 25-41, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karwowski et al. (5,731,029) in view of Nakajima (JP9149757) and Scaglione (5,094,870), and McGenity et al. (6,652,892) and Richar et al. (5,405,836).

Karwowski et al. disclose a process as in claim 8-15, of making a food product as claimed (abstract and, col. 17, lines 1-14, col. 19, lines 1-14, col. 14, lines 64-70). Claim 8 differs from the reference in the step of adding wheat flour to the cooled meat in particular amounts. However, Nakajima discloses that it is known to use wheat flour in a composition, which is to have been rotary molded (abstract). Scaglione et al. disclose that it is known to use wheat flour in making a dog biscuit in which the dough is rotary molded (col. 9, lines 35-44). McGenity et al. disclose that it is known to use ground wheat in compositions containing meat, which are to be rotary molded (col. 5, lines 6-15, col. 6, lines 24-34, col. 7, lines 15-24). Richar et al. disclose that it is known to make pet biscuits using wheat flour, meat in a composition, which is to be rotary molded (col. 7, lines 20-40, and col. 8, lines 48-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to use wheat flour in a known composition to be rotary molded.

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 16 further requires adding at least one liquid ingredient to the cooked meat. Water added separately to the meat dough is disclosed at col. 8, lines 8-10 of Karwowski et al.

Humectants are disclosed in col. 8, lines 21-61 which are added to the cooked meat dough as in claim 17 of Karwowski et al.

Adding dry ingredients as in claim 18, such as sucrose is disclosed in col. 8, lines 62-68 and filler ingredients in col. 9, lines 5-10 of Karwowski et al.

Adding dry ingredients in the form of a preblend is seen as being within the skill of the ordinary worker as in claim 19, and 20 since the various ingredients are disclosed and a "Seasoning Blend, Beef" is disclosed (col. 16, lines 45-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to add ingredients in the form of a preblend for ease of processing.

Filler ingredients as in claim 21 are disclosed by Karwowski et al. are disclosed in col. 9, lines 5-12. Nothing new is seen in other known ingredients used as in claim 21 absent a showing of unexpected results. Attention is invited to In re Levin, 84 USPQ 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper

Art Unit: 1761

showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. In re Benjamin D. White, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 USPQ 267; In re Mason et al., 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 USPQ 221. Therefore, it would have been obvious to add other types of filler ingredients for their known function in the process of Karwowski et al.

Claim 22 further requires cooling under a vacuum. Karwowski et al. disclose cooling to 90% (col. 17, lines 14-30). Nothing new is seen in cooling under a vacuum as vacuum cooling is well known, absent anything new or unexpected. Therefore, it would have been obvious to cooling a vacuum in order to save time.

Claim 23 further requires 35-75% meat. The reference to Karwowski et al. disclose using 58.93% meat (col. 18, lines 1-5) and the reference is also to a strip shaped food product, which is a pet snack as in claim 25 (col. 1, lines 54-55).

The limitations of claims 1-4, 7 have been disclosed by the combination of references and are obvious for those reasons.

The water activity of the product as in claim 5 is from 0.63 to 0.73 as disclosed by Karwowski et al. (col. 14, lines 49-66).

Claims 26 and 27 further requires the addition of salt. Karwowski et al. disclose the addition of salt (col. 18, lines 15-16).

Claims 28 and 29 further require admixing the salt before cooking the meat. The reference to Karwowski et al. disclose adding a salt after the meat is cooked. However, no patentable distinction is seen as to when the salt is added absent a showing of

Art Unit: 1761

unexpected results as the meat will finally contain salt, which would affect the water activity of a food, which is used for its known function (col. 15, lines 50-70). Therefore, it would have been obvious to add salt before cooking the meat for its known function of increasing the water activity of a food.

The further limitations of claims 30-41, 43 have been disclosed above and are obvious for those reasons.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 24, 42, 44-53 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 571-272-1404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1761

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hp 4-25-06

HELEN PRATT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6